Mean Value Analysis and Related Techniques

Raj Jain Washington University in Saint Louis Saint Louis, MO 63130 Jain@cse.wustl.edu

Audio/Video recordings of this lecture are available at:

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/



Washington University in St. Louis

Analysis of Open Queueing Networks

- Used to represent transaction processing systems, such as airline reservation systems, or banking systems.
- Transaction arrival rate is not dependent on the load on the computer system.
- Arrivals are modeled as a Poisson process with a mean arrival rate λ .
- Exact analysis of such systems
- Assumption: All devices in the system can be modeled as either fixed-capacity service centers (single server with exponentially distributed service time) or delay centers (infinite servers with exponentially distributed service time).

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Analysis of Open Queueing Networks

For all fixed capacity service centers in an open queueing network, the response time is:

$$R_i = S_i (1 + Q_i)$$

- □ On arrival at the *i*th device, the job sees Q_i jobs ahead (including the one in service) and expects to wait $Q_i S_i$ seconds. Including the service to itself, the job should expect a total response time of $S_i(1+Q_i)$.
- ❑ Assumption: Service is memory-less (not operationally testable) ⇒ Not an operational law
- Without the memory-less assumption, we would also need to know the time that the job currently in service has already consumed.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Mean Performance

Assuming *job flow balance*, the throughput of the system is equal to the arrival rate:

$$X = \lambda$$

- □ The throughput of i^{th} device, using the *forced flow law* is: $X_i = X V_i$
- □ The utilization of the *i*th device, using the *utilization law* is: $U_i = X_i S_i = X V_i S_i = \lambda D_i$
- □ The queue length of i^{th} device, using Little's law is:

$$Q_{i} = X_{i} R_{i} = X_{i} S_{i} (1 + Q_{i}) = U_{i} (1 + Q_{i})$$
$$Q_{i} = U_{i} / (1 - U_{i})$$

Or

□ Notice that the above equation for Q_i is identical to the equation for M/M/1 queues.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Mean Performance

□ The device response times are:

$$R_i = \frac{S_i}{1 - U_i}$$

□ In delay centers, there are infinite servers and, therefore:

$$R_i = S_i$$

$$Q_i = R_i X_i = S_i X V_i = X D_i = U_i$$

Notice that the utilization of the delay center represents the mean number of jobs receiving service and does not need to be less than one.

Example 34.1

- File server consisting of a CPU and two disks, A and B. Out-
- □ With 6 clients systems:



Observation interval = 3600 secNumber of client requests = 10800CPU busy time = 1728 secDisk A busy time = 1512 secDisk B busy time = 2592 secNumber of visits (I/O requests) to Disk A = 75600Number of visits (I/O requests) to Disk B = 86400

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

- = Throughput = 10800/3600
 - = 3 client requests per sec
 - = 75600/10800 = 7 visits per client request to disk A
- $V_B = 86400/10800 = 8$ visits per client request to disk B

$$V_{CPU} = 1+7+8$$

Х

 V_A

 D_B

 S_A

- = 16 visits per client requests to CPU
- $D_{CPU} = 1728/10800 = 0.16$ sec of CPU time per client request

$$D_A = 1512/10800$$

= 0.14 sec of disk A time per client request

$$= 2592/10800$$

- = 0.24 sec of disk B time per client request
- $S_{CPU} = 0.16/16 = 0.01$ sec per visit to CPU
 - = 0.14/7 = 0.02 sec per visit to disk A
- $S_B = 0.24/8 = 0.03$ sec per visit to disk B

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Device utilizations using the utilization law are:

$$U_{CPU} = XD_{CPU} = 3 \times 0.16 = 0.48$$
$$U_A = XD_A = 3 \times 0.14 = 0.42$$
$$U_B = XD_B = 3 \times 0.24 = 0.72$$

□ The device response times using Equation 34.2 are:

$$R_{CPU} = S_{CPU}/(1 - U_{CPU})$$

= 0.01/(1 - 0.48) = 0.0192 sec
$$R_A = S_A/(1 - U_A) = 0.02/(1 - 0.42) = 0.0345 \text{ sec}$$
$$R_B = S_B/(1 - U_B) = 0.03/(1 - 0.72) = 0.107 \text{ sec}$$

□ Server response time:

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{10} V_i R_i$$

= 16 × 0.0192 + 7 × 0.0345 + 8 × 0.107

= 1.406 sec

□ We can quantify the impact of the following changes:

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Q: What if we increase the number of clients to 8? \Rightarrow Request arrival rate will go up by a factor of 8/6. X = 4 requests/sec

$$U_{CPU} = XD_{CPU} = 4 \times 0.16 = 0.64$$

$$U_A = XD_A = 4 \times 0.14 = 0.56$$

$$U_B = XD_B = 4 \times 0.24 = 0.96$$

$$R_{CPU} = S_{CPU}/(1 - U_{CPU})$$

$$= 0.01/(1 - 0.64) = 0.0278 \text{ sec}$$

$$R_A = S_A/(1 - U_A) = 0.02/(1 - 0.56) = 0.0455 \text{ sec}$$

$$R_B = S_B/(1 - U_B) = 0.03/(1 - 0.96) = 0.75 \text{ sec}$$

$$R = 16 \times 0.0278 + 7 \times 0.0455 + 8 \times 0.75 = 6.76 \text{ sec}$$

Conclusion: Server response time will degrade by a factor of 6.76/1.406= 4.8

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Q: What if we use a cache for disk B with a hit rate of 50%, although it increases the CPU overhead by 30% and the disk B service time (per I/O) by 10%.

□ A:

$$V_B = 0.5 \times 8 = 4$$

 $S_{CPU} = 1.3 \times 0.01 = 0.013 \Rightarrow D_{CPU} = 0.208 \text{ sec}$

 $S_B = 1.1 \times 0.03 = 0.033 \Rightarrow D_B = 4 \times 0.033 = 0.132 \text{ sec}$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

□ The analysis of the changed systems is as follows:

$$U_{CPU} = XD_{CPU} = 3 \times 0.208 = 0.624$$

$$U_A = XD_A = 3 \times 0.14 = 0.42$$

$$U_B = XD_B = 3 \times 0.132 = 0.396$$

$$R_{CPU} = S_{CPU} / (1 - U_{CPU}) = 0.013 / (1 - 0.624)$$

= 0.0346 sec

$$R_A = S_A/(1 - U_A) = 0.02/(1 - 0.42) = 0.0345 \text{ sec}$$

$$R_B = S_B/(1 - U_B) = 0.033/(1 - 0.396) = 0.0546 \text{ sec}$$

 $R = 16 \times 0.0346 + 7 \times 0.0345 + 4 \times 0.0546 = 1.013 \text{ sec}$

□ Thus, if we use a cache for Disk B, the server response time will improve by (1.406-1.013)/1.406 = 28%.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Q: What if we have a lower cost server with only one disk (disk A) and direct all I/O requests to it?

$$V_B = 0$$

$$V_A = 7 + 8 = 15$$

 $D_{CPU} = 0.16 \text{ sec} (\text{as before})$

$$D_A = 15 \times 0.02 = 0.3 \text{ sec}$$

$$U_{CPU} = XD_{CPU} = 3 \times 0.16 = 0.48$$

$$U_A = XD_A = 3 \times 0.3 = 0.90$$

 $R_{CPU} = S_{CPU}/(1 - U_{CPU}) = 0.01/(1 - 0.48) = 0.0192 \text{ sec}$

$$R_A = S_A/(1 - U_A) = 0.02/(1 - 0.90) = 0.2 \text{ sec}$$

 $R = 16 \times 0.0192 + 15 \times 0.2 = 3.31 \text{ sec}$

• A: the server response time will degrade by a factor of 3.31/1.406 = 2.35

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

MVA (Cont)

- Mean-value analysis (MVA) allows solving closed queueing networks in a manner similar to that used for open queueing networks
- □ It gives the mean performance. The variance computation is not possible using this technique.
- Initially limit to fixed-capacity service centers. Delay centers are considered later. Load-dependent service centers are also considered later.
- Given a closed queueing network with N jobs: $R_i(N) = S_i (1+Q_i(N-1))$
- □ Here, $Q_i(N-1)$ is the mean queue length at i^{th} device with *N*-1 jobs in the network.
- □ It assumes that the service is memoryless

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

MVA (Cont)

- Since the performance with no users (N=0) can be easily computed, performance for any number of users can be computed iteratively.
- □ Given the response times at individual devices, the system response time using the general response time law is:

$$R(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} V_i R_i(N)$$

□ The system through $put^{i=1}$ using the interactive response time law is: N

$$X(N) = \frac{N}{R(N) + Z}$$

MVA (Cont)

The device throughputs measured in terms of jobs per second are:

$$X_i(N) = X(N) V_i$$

□ The device queue lengths with *N* jobs in the network using Little's law are:

$$Q_i(N) = X_i(N) R_i(N) = X(N) V_i R_i(N)$$

□ Response time equation for delay centers is simply:

$$R_i(N) = S_i$$

Earlier equations for device throughputs and queue lengths apply to delay centers as well.

$$Q_i(0)=0$$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Example 34.2

- Consider a timesharing system
- Each user request makes ten I/O requests to disk A, and five I/O requests to disk B.
- The service times per visit to disk A and disk B are 300 and 200 milliseconds, respectively.
- Each request takes two seconds of CPU time and the user think time is four seconds. $S_A = 0.3, V_A = 10 \Rightarrow D_A = 3$ $S_B = 0.2, V_B = 5 \Rightarrow D_B = 1$ $D_{CPU} = 2, V_{CPU} = V_A + V_B + 1 = 16 \Rightarrow S_{CPU} = 0.125$ Z = 4, and N = 20

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/



□ Initialization:

- > Number of users: N=0
- > Device queue lengths: $Q_{CPU}=0$, $Q_A=0$, $Q_B=0$

□ Iteration 1:

- > Number of users: N=1
- > Device response times:

 $R_{CPU} = S_{CPU}(1 + Q_{CPU}) = 0.125(1 + 0) = 0.125$ $R_A = S_A(1 + Q_A) = 0.3(1 + 0) = 0.3$ $R_B = S_B(1 + Q_B) = 0.2(1 + 0) = 0.2$

> System Response time:

$$R = R_{CPU}V_{CPU} + R_A V_A + R_B V_B$$

 $= 0.125 \times 16 + 0.3 \times 10 + 0.2 \times 5 = 6$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

- > System Throughput: X=N/(R+Z)=1/(6+4)=0.1
- > Device queue lengths:

$$Q_{CPU} = XR_{CPU}V_{CPU} = 0.1 \times 0.125 \times 16 = 0.2$$

$$Q_A = XR_A V_A = 0.1 \times 0.3 \times 10 = 0.3$$

$$Q_B = XR_B V_B = 0.1 \times 0.2 \times 5 = 0.1$$

□ Iteration 2:

- > Number of users: N=2
- > Device response times:

 $R_{CPU} = S_{CPU}(1 + Q_{CPU}) = 0.125(1 + 0.2) = 0.15$ $R_A = S_A(1 + Q_A) = 0.3(1 + 0.3) = 0.39$ $R_B = S_B(1 + Q_B) = 0.2(1 + 0.1) = 0.22$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

34-20

> System Response time:

$$R = R_{CPU}V_{CPU} + R_A V_A + R_B V_B$$

- $= 0.15 \times 16 + 0.39 \times 10 + 0.22 \times 5 = 7.4$
- > System Throughput: X=N/(R+Z)=2/(7.4+4)=0.175

> Device queue lengths:

 $Q_{CPU} = XR_{CPU}V_{CPU} = 0.175 \times 0.15 \times 16 = 0.421$ $Q_A = XR_A V_A = 0.175 \times 0.39 \times 10 = 0.684$ $Q_B = XR_B V_B = 0.175 \times 0.22 \times 5 = 0.193$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

MVA Results for Example 34.2

Iteration		Respon	nse Time		System	Q	ueue Len	gths
#	CPU	Disk A	Disk B	System	Throughput	CPU	Disk A	Disk B
1	0.125	0.300	0.200	6.000	0.100	0.200	0.300	0.100
2	0.150	0.390	0.220	7.400	0.175	0.421	0.684	0.193
3	0.178	0.505	0.239	9.088	0.229	0.651	1.158	0.273
4	0.206	0.647	0.255	11.051	0.266	0.878	1.721	0.338
5	0.235	0.816	0.268	13.256	0.290	1.088	2.365	0.388
• •	• • •	:	• • •	:	• • •	• •	• •	• • •
17	0.370	3.962	0.300	47.045	0.333	1.974	13.195	0.499
18	0.372	4.259	0.300	50.032	0.333	1.981	14.187	0.499
19	0.373	4.556	0.300	53.022	0.333	1.987	15.181	0.500
20	0.373	4.854	0.300	56.016	0.333	1.991	16.177	0.500
though the second secon					S 140.0 - 120.0 - 100.0 - 80.0 - 80.0 - 40.0 - 20.0 - 0.0 ↓ 00 ↓	20 Number o	40 If Users	
Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/								©2013 Raj Jain

³⁴⁻²²

Box 34.1: MVA Algorithms

Inpu	its:		Outp	outs:	
N	=	number of users	X	=	system throughput
Z	=	think time	Q_i	=	average $\#$ of jobs at <i>i</i> th device
M	=	number of devices	R_i	=	response time of i th device
S_i	=	service time/visit to i th device	R	=	system response time
V_i	=	number of visits to i th device	U_i	=	utilization of the i th device
		Initialization: FOR $i = 1$ TO M Iterations: FOR $n = 1$ TO N DO BEGIN FOR $i = 1$ TO M DO $R_i =$ $R = \sum_{i=1}^{M} R_i V_i$ $X = \frac{n}{Z+R}$ FOR $i = 1$ TO M DO $Q_i =$ END Device throughputs: $X_i = XV_i$ Device utilizations: $U_i = XS_iV_i$	$Q_i = 0$ $(1 + R_i)$	0 Q _i) Fixed capacity Delay centers	

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Quiz 34A: MVA

Part 1: Fill in the rows for N=0 and N=1 only.

 $R_i = S_i(1+Q_i) \qquad \qquad R = \sum_{i=1}^M R_i V_i$







Part 2: Fill in the row for N=2.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

©2013 Raj Jain

5s

CPU

 25×40 ms

 $- \underbrace{User}_{Disk}$ 20×30ms

 $+ \underbrace{Sys}_{Disk}$ $4 \times 25 ms$

MVA Assumptions

- MVA is applicable only if the network is a product form network.
- This means that the network should satisfy the conditions of job flow balance, one step behavior, and device homogeneity.
- Also assumes that all service centers are either fixedcapacity service centers or delay centers.
- □ In both cases, we assumed exponentially distributed service times.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Homework 34A

For a timesharing system with two disks (user and system), the probabilities for jobs completing the service at the CPU were found to be 0.75 to disk A, 0.15 to disk B, and 0.1 to the terminals. The user think time was measured to be 5 seconds, the disk service times are 30 milliseconds and 25 milliseconds, while the average service time per visit to the CPU was 40 milliseconds.

Using the queueing network model shown in Figure 32.8:

□ Use MVA to compute system throughput and response time for N=1,...,5 interactive users

Balanced Job Bounds

- A system without a bottleneck device is called a balanced system.
- Balanced system has a better performance than a similar unbalanced system
 - \Rightarrow Allows getting two sided bounds on performance
- An unbalanced system's performance can always be improved by replacing the bottleneck device with a faster device.
- Balanced System: Total service time demands on all devices are equal.

Balanced Job Bounds (Cont)

□ Thus, the response time and throughput of a time-sharing system can be bounded as follows:

$$\max\left\{ND_{max} - Z, D + (N-1)D_{avg}\frac{D}{D+Z}\right\} \le R(N) \le D + (N-1)D_{max}\frac{(N-1)D}{(N-1)D+Z}$$
$$\frac{N}{Z+D+(N-1)D_{max}\frac{(N-1)D}{(N-1)D+Z}} \le X(N) \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{D_{max}}, \frac{N}{Z+D+(N-1)D_{avg}\frac{D}{D+Z}}\right\}$$

- Here, D_{avg}=D/M is the average service demand per device.
 These equations are known as balanced job bounds.
- □ These bounds are very tight in that the upper and lower bound are very close to each other and to the actual performance.
- □ For batch systems, the bounds can be obtained by substituting Z=0

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Balanced Job Bounds (Cont)

- Assumption: All service centers except terminals are fixed-capacity service centers.
- Terminals are represented by delay centers. No other delay centers are allowed because the presence of delay centers invalidates several arguments related to D_{max} and D_{avg} .

Derivation of Balanced Job Bounds

Steps:

- 1. Derive an expression for the throughput and response time of a balanced system.
- 2. Given an unbalanced system, construct a corresponding `best case' balanced system such that the number of devices is the same and the sum of demands is identical in the balanced and unbalanced systems. This produces the upper bounds on the performance.
- 3. Construct a corresponding `worst case' balanced system such that each device has a demand equal to the bottleneck and the number of devices is adjusted to make the sum of demands identical in the balanced and unbalanced systems. This produces the lower bounds on performance.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Example 34.4

□ For the timesharing system of Example 34.1

>
$$D_{CPU} = 2$$
, $D_A = 3$, $D_B = 1$, $Z = 4$
> $D = D_{CPU} + D_A + D_B = 2 + 3 + 1 = 6$
> $D_{avg} = D/3 = 2$
> $D_{max} = D_A = 3$

□ The balanced job bounds are:

$$\frac{N}{4+6+(N-1)2\frac{6(N-1)}{6(N-1)+4}} \le X(N) \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{N}{4+6+(N-1)3\frac{6}{6+4}}\right\}$$
$$\max\left\{3N-4, 6+(N-1)3\frac{6}{6+4}\right\} \le R(N) \le 6+(N-1)2\frac{6(N-1)}{6(N-1)+4}$$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

©2013 Raj Jain

34-33



34-34

Ν	Response Time			T	Throughput			
	Lower		Upper	Lower		Upper		
#	BJB	MVA	BJB	BJB	MVA	BJB		
1	6.000	6.000	6.000	0.100	0.100	0.100		
2	7.200	7.400	7.800	0.169	0.175	0.179		
3	8.400	9.088	10.500	0.207	0.229	0.242		
4	9.600	11.051	13.364	0.230	0.266	0.294		
5	11.000	13.256	16.286	0.246	0.290	0.333		
• •	•	•	• •	•	• •	• •		
15	41.000	41.089	46.091	0.299	0.333	0.333		
16	44.000	44.064	49.085	0.301	0.333	0.333		
17	47.000	47.045	52.080	0.303	0.333	0.333		
18	50.000	50.032	55.075	0.305	0.333	0.333		
19	53.000	53.022	58.071	0.306	0.333	0.333		
20	56.000	56.016	61.068	0.307	0.333	0.333		
Washingtor	n University in St.	Louis	http://ww	w.cse.wustl.edu/~jaii	n/cse567-13/	©20		

Jain



Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/



Summary

- 1. Open queueing networks of M/M/1 or M/M/∞ can be analyzed exactly
- 2. MVA allows exact analysis of closed queueing networks. Given performance of N-1 users, get performance for N users.
- 3. Balanced Job bounds: A balanced system with $D_i = D_{avg}$ will have better performance and an unbalanced system with some devices at D_{max} and others at 0 will have worse performance.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Homework 34C

For the data of homework 34A:

■ Write the expressions for balanced job bounds on the system throughput and response time of the system and compute the bounds for up to N=30 users.