Analysis of Simulation Results



- Analysis of Simulation Results
- Model Verification Techniques
- Model Validation Techniques
- Transient Removal
- □ Terminating Simulations
- Stopping Criteria: Variance Estimation
- Variance Reduction

©2010 Raj Jain www.rajjain.com

Model Verification vs. Validation

- ightharpoonup Verification \Rightarrow Debugging
- ightharpoonup Validation \Rightarrow Model = Real world
- Four Possibilities:
 - 1. Unverified, Invalid
 - 2. Unverified, Valid
 - 3. Verified, Invalid
 - 4. Verified, Valid


Model Verification Techniques

- 1. Top Down Modular Design
- 2. Anti-bugging
- 3. Structured Walk-Through
- 4. Deterministic Models
- 5. Run Simplified Cases
- 6. Trace
- 7. On-Line Graphic Displays
- 8. Continuity Test
- 9. Degeneracy Tests
- 10. Consistency Tests
- 11. Seed Independence

Top Down Modular Design


- Divide and Conquer
- Modules = Subroutines, Subprograms, Procedures
 - > Modules have well defined interfaces
 - > Can be independently developed, debugged, and maintained
- □ Top-down design
 - ⇒ Hierarchical structure
 - ⇒ Modules and sub-modules

Top Down Modular Design (Cont)



©2010 Raj Jain www.rajjain.com

Top Down Modular Design (Cont)



Verification Techniques

- □ **Anti-bugging**: Include self-checks:
 - \sum Probabilities = 1

Jobs left = Generated - Serviced

- **■ Structured Walk-Through:**
 - > Explain the code another person or group
 - > Works even if the person is sleeping
- □ **Deterministic Models**: Use constant values
- **□** Run Simplified Cases:
 - > Only one packet
 - > Only one source
 - > Only one intermediate node

Trace


- ☐ Trace = Time-ordered list of events and variables
- Several levels of detail:
 - > Events trace
 - > Procedure trace
 - > Variables trace
- User selects the detail
 - > Include on and off
- □ See Fig 25.3 in the Text Book on page 418 for a sample trace

On-Line Graphic Displays

- Make simulation interesting
- Help selling the results
- More comprehensive than trace

Continuity Test

- Run for different values of input parameters
- \square Slight change in input \Rightarrow slight change in output
- □ Before:




Number of sources

Continuity Test (Cont)

□ After:

Throughput



Number of sources

©2010 Raj Jain www.rajjain.com

More Verification Techniques

- Degeneracy Tests: Try extreme configuration and workloads
 One CPU, Zero disk
- **□** Consistency Tests:
 - ➤ Similar result for inputs that have same effect

 □ Four users at 100 Mbps vs. Two at 200 Mbps
 - Build a test library of continuity, degeneracy and consistency tests
- □ **Seed Independence**: Similar results for different seeds

Model Validation Techniques


- Validation techniques for one problem may not apply to another problem.
- Aspects to Validate:
 - 1. Assumptions
 - 2. Input parameter values and distributions
 - 3. Output values and conclusions
- Techniques:
 - 1. Expert intuition
 - 2. Real system measurements
 - 3. Theoretical results

$$\Rightarrow$$
 3 × 3 = 9 validation tests

Expert Intuition

- Most practical and common way
- Experts = Involved in design, architecture, implementation, analysis, marketing, or maintenance of the system
- □ Selection = fn of Life cycle stage
- Present assumption, input, output
- Better to validate one at a time
- □ See if the experts can distinguish simulation vs. measurement

Expert Intuition (Cont)



©2010 Raj Jain www.rajjain.com

Prehability of packet loss

Real System Measurements

- Compare assumptions, input, output with the real world
- Often infeasible or expensive
- Even one or two measurements add to the validity

Theoretical Results

- Analysis = Simulation
- ☐ Used to validate analysis also
- Both may be invalid
- □ Use theory in conjunction with experts' intuition
 - > E.g., Use theory for a large configuration
 - > Can show that the model is not invalid

Transient Removal

- Generally steady state performance is interesting
- Remove the initial part
- \square No exact definition \Rightarrow Heuristics:
 - 1. Long Runs
 - 2. Proper Initialization
 - 3. Truncation
 - 4. Initial Data Deletion
 - 5. Moving Average of Independent Replications
 - 6. Batch Means

Transient Removal Techniques

□ Long Runs:

- > Wastes resources
- > Difficult to insure that it is long enough


□ Proper Initialization:

- > Start in a state close to expected steady state
 - ⇒ Reduces the length and effect of transient state

©2010 Rai Jain www.raiiain.com

Truncation

- Assumes variability is lower during steady state
- □ Plot max-min of n-l observation for l =1, 2,
- When (l+1)th observation is neither the minimum nor maximum ⇒ transient state ended
- Arr At l = 9, Range = (9, 11), next observation = 10
- Sometimes incorrect result.



©2010 Raj Jain www.rajjain.com

Initial Data Deletion

- Delete some initial observation
- Compute average
- \square No change \Rightarrow Steady state
- ☐ Use several replications to smoothen the average
- \square m replications of size n each x_{ij} = jth observation in the ith replication

Initial Data Deletion (Cont)

Steps:

1. Get a mean trajectory by averaging across replications

$$\bar{x}_j = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

2. Get the overall mean:

$$\bar{\bar{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{x}_j$$

Set l=1 and proceed to the next step.

Initial Data Deletion (Cont)


3. Delete the first l observations and get an overall mean from the remaining n-l values:

$$\bar{\bar{x}}_l = \frac{1}{n-l} \sum_{j=l+1}^n \bar{x}_j$$


4. Compute the relative change:

Relative change =
$$\frac{\bar{x}_l - \bar{x}}{\bar{x}}$$

- 5. Repeat steps 3 and 4 by varying *l* from 1 to *n-1*.
- 6. Plot the overall mean and the relative change
- 7. l at knee = length of the transient interval.



Initial Data Deletion (Cont)



Moving Average of Independent Replications

- Mean over a moving time interval window
- 1. Get a mean trajectory by averaging across replications:

$$\bar{x}_j = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$


Set k = 1 and proceed to the next step.

2. Plot a trajectory of the moving average of successive 2k+1 values:

$$\bar{x}_j = \frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{l=-k}^{k} \bar{x}_{j+l}$$
 $j = k+1, k+2, ..., n-k$


Moving Avg. of Independent Repl. (Cont)

- 3. Repeat step 2, with k=2, 3, and so on until the plot is smooth.
- 4. Value of j at the knee gives the length of the transient phase



Batch Means

- □ Run a long simulation and divide into equal duration part
- □ Part = Batch = Sub-sample
- □ Study variance of batch means as a function of the batch size



Batch Means (cont)

Steps:

1. For each batch, compute a batch mean:

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots m$$

2. Compute overall mean:

$$\bar{\bar{x}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{x}_i$$

3. Compute the variance of the batch means:

$$Var(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\bar{x}_i - \bar{\bar{x}})^2$$


4. Repeat steps 1 and 3, for n=3, 4, 5, and so on.


Batch Means (Cont)

- 5. Plot the variance as a function of batch size *n*.
- 6. Value of *n* at which the variance definitely starts decreasing gives transient interval
- 7. Rationale:
 - -Batch size ≪ transient
 - \Rightarrow overall mean = initial mean \Rightarrow Higher variance
 - -Batch size ≫ transient
 - \Rightarrow Overall mean = steady state mean \Rightarrow Lower variance

Batch Means (Cont)

☐ Ignore peaks followed by an upswing





©2010 Raj Jain www.rajjain.com

Terminating Simulations

- ☐ Transient performance is of interest E.g., Network traffic
- \square System shuts down \Rightarrow Do not need transient removal.
- ☐ Final conditions:
 - > May need to exclude the final portion from results
 - > Techniques similar to transient removal


Treatment of Leftover Entities

lacktriangleq Mean service time $=\frac{\text{Total service time}}{\text{Number of jobs that completed service}}$

□ Mean Queue Length $\neq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \text{Queue length at event j}}{\text{Number of events } n}$

$$= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{Queue_length}(t) dt$$

Example 25.3: Treatment of Leftover Entities



- □ Three events: Arrival at t=0, departures at t=1 and t=4
- Q = 2, 1, 0 at these events. Avg $Q \neq (2+1+0)/3 = 1$
- Arr Avg Q = Area/4 = 5/4

Stopping Criteria: Variance Estimation

Run until confidence interval is narrow enough

$$\bar{x} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\bar{x})}$$

■ For Independent observations:

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{x}) = \frac{\operatorname{Var}(x)}{n}$$

- Independence not applicable to most simulations.
- □ Large waiting time for ith job⇒ Large waiting time for (i+1)th job
- For correlated observations:

Actual variance
$$\gg \frac{\operatorname{Var}(x)}{n}$$

©2010 Rai Jain www.raijain.com

Variance Estimation Methods

- 1. Independent Replications
- 2. Batch Means
- 3. Method of Regeneration

Independent Replications

- Assumes that means of independent replications are independent
- \Box Conduct m replications of size $n+n_0$ each
 - 1. Compute a mean for each replication:

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{n_0+n} x_{ij}$$
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$

2. Compute an overall mean for all replications:

$$\bar{\bar{x}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{x}_i$$

Independent Replications (Cont)

3. Calculate the variance of replicate means:

$$Var(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\bar{x}_i - \bar{\bar{x}})^2$$

4. Confidence interval for the mean response is:

$$\left[\bar{x} \mp z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\bar{x})/m}\right]$$

- Keep replications large to avoid waste
- ☐ Ten replications generally sufficient

Batch Means

- Also called method of sub-samples
- □ Run a long simulation run
- □ Discard initial transient interval, and Divide the remaining observations run into several batches or sub-samples.
 - 1. Compute means for each batch:

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

2. Compute an overall mean:

$$\bar{\bar{x}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{x}_i$$

Batch Means (Cont)

3. Calculate the variance of batch means:

$$Var(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\bar{x}_i - \bar{\bar{x}})^2$$

4. Confidence interval for the mean response is:

$$\left[\bar{x} \mp z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\bar{x})/m}\right]$$

- □ Less waste than independent replications
- Keep batches long to avoid correlation
- □ Check: Compute the auto-covariance of successive batch means: m-1

$$Cov(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (\bar{x}_i - \bar{\bar{x}})(\bar{x}_{i+1} - \bar{\bar{x}})$$

□ Double n until autocovariance is small.

Case Study 25.1: Interconnection Networks


- ☐ Indirect binary n-cube networks: Used for processor-memory interconnection
- □ Two stage network with full fan out.
- At 64, autocovariance < 1% of sample variance

Batch Size	Autocovariance	Variance
1	-0.18792	1.79989
2	0.02643	0.81173
4	0.11024	0.42003
8	0.08979	0.26437
16	0.04001	0.17650
32	0.01108	0.10833
64	0.00010	0.06066
128	-0.00378	0.02992
256	0.00027	0.01133
512	0.00069	0.00503
1024	0.00078	0.00202

©2010 Raj Jain www.rajjain.com

Method of Regeneration

Regeneration Points



- Behavior after idle period does not depend upon the past history
 - ⇒ System takes a new birth
 - \Rightarrow **R**egeneration point
- Note: The regeneration point are the beginning of the idle interval. (not at the ends as shown in the book).

©2010 Raj Jain www.rajjain.com

Method of Regeneration (Cont)

- Regeneration cycle: Between two successive regeneration points
- ☐ Use means of regeneration cycles
- □ Problems:
 - > Not all systems are regenerative
 - ➤ Different lengths ⇒ Computation complex
- Overall mean ≠ Average of cycle means
- □ Cycle means are given by:

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{ij}$$

Method of Regeneration (Cont)

Overall mean:
$$\bar{x} \neq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{x}_i$$

1. Compute cycle sums:
$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{ij}$$

- 2. Compute overall mean: $\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i}$
- 3. Calculate the difference between expected and observed cycle sums:

$$w_i = y_i - n_i \bar{\bar{x}}$$
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$

Method of Regeneration (Cont)

4. Calculate the variance of the differences:

$$Var(w) = s_w^2 = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i^2$$

5. Compute mean cycle length:

$$\bar{n} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i$$

6. Confidence interval for the mean response is given by:

$$\bar{x} \mp z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{s_w}{\bar{n}\sqrt{m}}$$

7. No need to remove transient observations

Method of Regeneration: Problems

- 1. The cycle lengths are unpredictable. Can't plan the simulation time beforehand.
- 2. Finding the regeneration point may require a lot of checking after every event.
- 3. Many of the variance reduction techniques can not be used due to variable length of the cycles.
- 4. The mean and variance estimators are biased

Variance Reduction

- Reduce variance by controlling random number streams
- □ Introduce correlation in successive observations
- **Problem**: Careless use may backfire and lead to increased variance.
- □ For statistically sophisticated analysts only
- Not recommended for beginners



- Verification = Debugging
 ⇒ Software development techniques
- 2. Validation \Rightarrow Simulation = Real \Rightarrow Experts involvement
- 3. Transient Removal: Initial data deletion, batch means
- 4. Terminating Simulations = Transients are of interest
- 5. Stopping Criteria: Independent replications, batch means, method of regeneration
- 6. Variance reduction is not for novice

©2010 Raj Jain www.rajjain.com

Exercise 25.1

Imagine that you have been called as an expert to review a simulation study. Which of the following simulation results would you consider non-intuitive and would want it carefully validated:

- 1. The throughput of a system increases as its load increases.
- 2. The throughput of a system decreases as its load increases.
- 3. The response time increases as the load increases.
- 4. The response time of a system decreases as its load increases.
- 5. The loss rate of a system decreases as the load increases.

Exercise 25.2

Find the duration of the transient interval for the following sample: 11, 4, 2, 6, 5, 7, 10, 9, 10, 9, 10, 9, 10, ..., Does the method of truncation give the correct result in this case?

Homework

□ The observed queue lengths at time t=0, 1, 2, ..., 32 in a simulation are: 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 7, 5, 3, 3, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 3, 5, 4, 5, 4, 4, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 3, 2, 0. A plot of this data is shown below. Apply method of regeneration to compute the confidence interval for the mean queue length.

